

Chemical Recycling of Plastics Won't Close the Loop on the Climate Crisis

Fracking and a gas glut have fueled an unnecessary plastics production bonanza.¹ The process of turning fracked gas into plastic releases climate-altering air pollutants,² and as plastic breaks down into smaller pieces it releases methane.³ On top of its climate impacts, increased production means more disposable plastic materials that pollute our air, water and even table salt.⁴ This has generated concern about the mountains of waste.

Subsequently, the plastics industry has partnered with major oil and gas companies to protect their industries by investing in chemical recycling.⁵ Though chemical recycling is promoted as an effort to address our growing plastics problem,⁶ it greenwashes plastics and fails to address the climate crisis bolstered by the fracking and petrochemical industries.

Chemical recycling 101

The largest sector of the plastics industry is packaging, which creates materials that are immediately discarded.⁷ In 2017, just 8.4 percent of the plastics generated in the U.S. were recycled, while the rest went to landfills and incinerators.⁸ To temper outcry about plastic waste, industry is looking to a process called chemical recycling.

Plastic waste has traditionally been recycled through mechanical recycling, which grinds plastic waste into smaller pieces before further processing and reusing it to create new materials. But this is limited to certain types of plastic which make up less than half of what is annually produced.⁹ Chemical recycling is a polluting process that uses chemicals, solvents and/or heat to chemically break plastics into their raw components, which can be reused as new materials.¹⁰

While chemical recycling is touted as a technology to improve mechanical recycling and meet the growing demand for recycled plastic, chemical recycling is often used to convert plastics to fuels and hydrocarbons, rather than new plastic.¹¹ For example, thermal processes such as pyrolysis and gasification can convert plastics to hydrocarbons used

for fuel and heat, but the practice releases toxic emissions including carbon monoxide, hydrogen cyanide, benzene, heavy metals and carcinogenic dioxin.¹²

Chemical recycling contributes to the climate crisis

At a time of climate catastrophe, it is critical we stop investing in fossil fuels, petrochemicals and plastics. Many of the more established chemical recycling technologies are limited to plastic-to-fuel technologies — not plastic-to-plastic recycling — and they emit harmful greenhouse gases, air pollution and toxic byproducts.¹³ In fact, plastic-derived vehicle fuels actually emit more sulfur dioxide, nitrous oxides and greenhouse gas emissions than traditional diesel and gasoline.¹⁴

Chemical recycling of plastics simply trades one problem for another. While recycling plastics into fuel may reduce the amount of plastic trash, it fails to reduce the demand for plastic and it contributes to climate change through continued plastic production.¹⁵ Plastic is expected to continue bolstering demand for oil and gas, which in turn enables the expansion of the climate polluting petrochemical industry that turns gas feedstocks into plastic.¹⁶

Chemical recycling greenwashes the petrochemical industry

Chemical recycling has enabled industries to falsely paint themselves as stewards of the environment. Fossil fuel and chemical companies have announced questionable plans to tackle the plastics crisis. For example, BP has partnered with waste, food and beverage companies to develop chemical recycling technologies.¹⁷ Shell, ExxonMobil, Dow and dozens of others formed the “Alliance to End Plastic Waste” (AEPW).¹⁸ But all these announcements offer are opportunities for corporations to tout their commitment to sustainability while continuing business-as-usual.

For example, Shell has “ambition[s] to use one million tonnes of plastic waste a year...by 2025.”¹⁹ Yet its \$6 billion plastics-manufacturing plant in Pennsylvania will use ethane from fracked gas to produce 1.6 million tons of plastic annually, striking a notable contrast with its stated efforts to

“end plastic waste.”²⁰ That same facility could emit 2.2 million tons of carbon dioxide a year.²¹ Similarly, AEPW is boasting its planned \$1.5 billion investment to fight plastic waste and improve recycling technologies, yet several companies in the coalition are propagating plastic waste with investments in new petrochemical and plastics manufacturing facilities.²²

Conclusion

Chemical recycling is being hyped as an opportunity to make plastics more sustainable. Touting plastic-to-fuel recycling as a “cleaner” or “transition” fuel²³ is reminiscent of the “clean coal” and natural gas “bridge fuel” myths. This strategy must not be mistaken as a pathway to solving either the plastics or climate crises. The best step forward is to ban fracking, stop building new petrochemical facilities and develop environmentally friendly alternatives to plastic.

Endnotes

- 1 U.S. Energy Information Administration. “Ethane production expected to increase as petrochemical consumption and exports expand.” April 1, 2016; “Energy upside: The surge of ethane.” *Oil & Gas 360*. April 1, 2016; Ghanta, Madhav et al. “Environmental impacts of ethylene production from diverse feedstocks and energy sources.” *Applied Petrochemical Research*. Vol. 4, Iss. 2. 2014 at 167; American Chemistry Council, Economics & Statistics Department. “Plastic Resins in the United States.” July 2013 at 14 and 15.
- 2 Food & Water Watch. “Oceans Awash in Plastics – Brought to You by the Fracking Industry.” July 2018 at 1.
- 3 Royer, Sarah-Jeanne et al. “Production of methane and ethylene from plastic in the environment.” *PLoS ONE*. Vol. 13, No. 8. August 2018 at 1 to 2.
- 4 Food & Water Watch (2018) at 1.
- 5 Shell. [Press release]. “New global alliance commits over \$1.0 billion USD to help end plastic waste in the environment; sets goal of investing \$1.5 billion USD.” January 16, 2019; Osborne, James. “Can plastic recycling be fixed?” *Houston Chronicle*. December 5, 2019; Chaudhuri, Saabira. “Plastic backlash leads to bets on old recycling technology.” *Wall Street Journal*. December 8, 2019.
- 6 Shell. [Press release]. “Shell uses plastic waste to produce chemicals.” November 21, 2019.
- 7 Jambeck, Jenna et al. “Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean.” *Science*. Vol. 347, Iss. 6223. 2015 at 768.
- 8 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. “Advancing Sustainable Materials Management: 2017 Fact Sheet.” EPA 530-F-19-007. November 2019 at 4.
- 9 Osborne (2019); Garcia, Jeannette M. and Megan L. Robertson. “The future of plastics recycling.” *Science*. Vol. 358, Iss. 6365. November 2017 at 871.
- 10 Osborne (2019); Antelava, Ana et al. “Plastic solid waste (PSW) in the context of life cycle assessment (LCA) and sustainable management.” *Environmental Management*. Vol. 64, Iss. 2. August 2019 at 2 and 3; Ragaert, Kim et al. “Mechanical and chemical recycling of solid plastic waste.” *Waste Management*. Vol. 69. November 2017 at 18, 27 and 30; Rollinson, Andrew N. “Fire, explosion and chemical toxicity hazards of gasification energy from waste.” *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*. Vol. 54. July 2018 at 274; Azoulay, David et al. Center for International Environmental Law. “Plastics & Health: The Hidden Costs of a Plastic Planet.” February 2019 at 48.
- 11 Azoulay et al. (2019) at 48; Garcia and Robertson (2017) at 871; Antelava et al. (2019) at 2; Closed Loop Partners. “Accelerating Circular Supply Chains for Plastics: A Landscape of Transformational Technologies that Stop Plastic Waste, Keep Materials in Play and Grow Markets.” April 2019 at 13, 15 to 16, 18 to 19 and 48 to 87.
- 12 Ragaert et al. (2017) at 27 and 30; Azoulay et al. (2019) at 47; World Health Organization. “Dioxins and Their Effects on Human Health.” October 4, 2016. Available at <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dioxins-and-their-effects-on-human-health>. Accessed December 2019 and on file with Food & Water Watch.
- 13 Closed Loop Partners (2019) at 15 to 16; Ragaert et al. (2017) at 21; Rollinson (2018) at 274 and 275.
- 14 Khan, M.Z.H. et al. “Pyrolytic waste plastic oil and its diesel blend: Fuel characterization.” *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*. Vol. 2016, No. 7869080. 2016 at 4 to 5; Kalargaris, Ioannis et al. “Influence of advanced injection timing and fuel additive on combustion, performance, and emission characteristics of a DI diesel engine running on plastic pyrolysis oil.” *Journal of Combustion*. Vol. 2017, No. 3126342. February 2017 at 1, 5 and 7.
- 15 Waxman, Andrew R. et al. “Emissions in the stream: Estimating the greenhouse gas impacts of an oil and gas boom.” *Environmental Research Letters*. Vol. 15, No. 1. January 2020 at 8.
- 16 Storrow, Benjamin. “Meet America’s new superpolluters: Plastics plants.” *E&E News*. January 21, 2020; McKinsey. “Global Energy Perspective 2019: Reference Case, Summary.” January 2019 at 24 and 26; Benchaïta, Tayeb. Inter-American Development Bank, Environmental Safeguards Unit. “Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New Petrochemical Plants: Background Information Paper for the Elaboration of Technical Notes and Guidelines for IDB Projects.” July 2013 at 3, 17 to 18; Waxman et al. (2020) at 1, 6 and 8.
- 17 Chaudhuri (2019); Brooks, Benjamin and Robert Perkins. “BP’s PET recycling plans get support from cross-industry players.” *S&P Global Platts*. December 19, 2019.
- 18 Shell (January 16, 2019).
- 19 Shell (November 21, 2019).
- 20 Litvak, Anya. “Shell cracker is a harbinger of things to come, drawing in President Trump and protesters.” *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. August 13, 2019; Shell. [Press release]. “Shell to build new petrochemicals complex in Pennsylvania.” June 7, 2016; Shell (January 16, 2019).
- 21 Storrow (2020).
- 22 Shell (January 16, 2019); Environmental Integrity Project. “Emission Increase Database.” Available at <https://www.environmentalintegrity.org/oil-gas-infrastructure-emissions/>. Accessed February 2020 and on file with Food & Water Watch.
- 23 Woodring, Doug and Steve Russell. Ocean Recovery Alliance and American Chemistry Council. “How plastics-to-fuel can become the next green machine (op-ed).” *Live Science*. September 21, 2015; Opray, Max. “Campaigners reject plastic-to-fuel projects: But are they right?” *Guardian*. February 20, 2017.